

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,820	06/21/2006	Franklin Selgert	03-1111-В	8743	
90306 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KELLY, RAFFERTY D		
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, II		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2876		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/19/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/583,820	SELGERT, FRANKLIN	SELGERT, FRANKLIN		
Examiner	Art Unit			
RAFFERTY KELLY	2876			

	RAFFERTY KELLY	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appr Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 OFPR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failur to reply within the act or extended protein for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Offico later than these months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 OFFI 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNIO B(a). In no event, however, may a r Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this community (ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Au</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matt		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.5.7-9.11-13.16-20 and 22-31 is/are ¶ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.5.7-9.11-13.16-20 and 22-28 is/are 1 7) ⊠ Claim(s) are subject to . 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the cReplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ obje rawing(s) be held in abeyar on is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in A ty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	tage

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20100830.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Niali Date. _____.

Notice of Informal Patent Application
 Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination and amendment filed on 8/30/10 has been acknowledged and entered.

Claim Objections

Claims 29, 30, and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 29-31 are allowable

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to teach all of the features of claims 29-31. Specifically the prior art does not teach that the RF signal transmitted from the RF chip comprises a link to information associated with a product to which the RF chip is attached.

Pond et al. (US 2004/0030601 A1) teaches the features of claims 1, 7, and 18, as discussed below. However, the information that is being transmitted in Pond relates to transaction information (price totals, account number, transaction verification, etc.). Pond does not teach transmitting a link to product information as discussed in claims 29-31.

Chauvin et al. (US 2002/0030606 A1) also teaches a number of features of claims 1, 7, and 18 (See office action dated 3/10/10. However, Chauvin teaches transmitting information relating to parking and parking meter transactions. Chauvin

Art Unit: 2876

does not teach transmitting a link to information associated with a product to which the RF chio is attached.

None of the cited references, or any other references, teaches all of the features of claims 29-31. Further, it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine any references to arrive at the teachings of claims 29-31. Therefore, these claims are found to be allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

 Claims 1, 7, 8, 11-13, 17-19, and 22-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pond et al. (US 2004/0030601 A1).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Pond teaches a system comprising: a customer device (104) configured to receive an RF signal from an RF chip via a first communication path (530), and responsively (i) start communicating with a communication network via a second separate communication path (internet - 1409) and (ii) send an enabling ID to the RF chip, the enabling ID uniquely identifying the customer device to the RF chip (540), the RF chip configured to transmit the RF signal to the customer device via the first communications path (Fig. 5A - interrogator kiosk

Art Unit: 2876

communicates with mobile device via RFID), receive the enabling ID from the RF chip and store the enabling ID (ID is at least temporarily stored in order to pass to the host - 540, 545), and means for causing an entity associated with the RF chip (merchant) to be financially compensated by a communication provider (1407, 1595) associated with the communication network (Fig. 14) for the network communications (network communications includes transaction information and the merchant is compensated by the payment system for the transaction) of the customer device triggered by the RF chip, based at least in part on the enabling ID (ID used to access payment account).

Regarding claims 7 and 18, Pond teaches a system comprising: a customer device (502) configured to receive an RF signal and an enabling ID from an RF chip (104) via a first communication path (540) and responsively start communicating with a communication network via a second separate communication path (1409, 545), the RF chip comprising means for sending the enabling ID to the customer device (Fig. 2A, 545), the enabling ID uniquely identifying the RF chip to the customer device [0088], and means for causing an entity (merchant) associated with the RF chip to be financially compensated by a communication provider (1407, 1595) associated with the communication network (Fig. 14) for the network communications (network communications includes transaction information and the merchant is compensated by the payment system for the transaction) of the customer device triggered by the RF chip, based at least in part on the enabling ID (ID used to access payment account).

Regarding claims 8 and 19, Pond teaches wherein the customer device comprises a memory (382) for storing the enabling ID (ID is stored in order to to pass to

Art Unit: 2876

host - 545) and the customer device comprises means for reading the enabling ID from the memory and transmitting the enabling ID for use in financially compensating the entity (545).

Regarding claims 11, 17, and 22, Pond teaches wherein billing information is created based on the enabling ID [0088].

Regarding claim 13, Pond teaches wherein the method further comprises the step of reading the enabling ID from the memory and transmitting the ID for use in financially compensating the entity (ID must be temporarily stored in the memory of 502 in order to pass to host – 540, 545).

Regarding claims 23, 24, 25, and 26, Pond teaches wherein the RF signal transmitted from the RF chip to the customer device (510, 520, 530) contains an identifier (URL) identifying the communication network of the communication provider and the customer device uses the identifier to connect to the communication network [0088].

Regarding claims 27 and 28, Pond teaches wherein a user of the customer device pays the communication provider for access to the communication network (user pays credit card bills to payment system 1407 – [0133]) and the compensation to the first entity rewards the first entity for causing the customer device to use the communication network (1550 – [0150] [0151]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2876

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 5, 9, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pond in view of Elston et al. (US 2003/0191709 A1). The teachings of Pond have been discussed above.

Regarding claims 5, 9, 16, and 20, Pond teaches the system and methods of claims 1, 8, 13, and 19.

Pond lacks clearing the memory of the enabling ID.

Elston teaches means to clear the memory of the enabling ID [0168].

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to clear the memory of the enabling ID because the enabling ID may be sensitive information (such as account number, PIN number, one-time password, etc.) and storing this information at all times may compromise the security of the account associated with the information.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. New grounds of rejection are in view of Pond and are required by the amendments to the independent claims regarding the relationships between the RF chip and the customer device.

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAFFERTY KELLY whose telephone number is (571)270-5031. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 800-1730 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rafferty Kelly/ Examiner, Art Unit 2876 1-14-11

/Michael G Lee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2876